Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/662,048	POURMEHDI, MOUSTAFA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William L. Miller	3677
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>William L. Miller</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joseph Sofer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 February 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ State ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-16 Prior art documents discussed:		
Mesica et al. (US#4977757); Ullman (US#1672355)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claim 1 was amended to overcome the prior art of record and to pass the case to issue. Non-elected claims 5, 6, and 10-16 were canceled as being drawn to a non-elected invention.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
WILLIAM L. MILLER PRIMARY EXAMINER		
	: cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(Application)	ippiidant o i topi doomat	g.,mia.o appropriato/